

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 13, 2000 LB 788

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR JANSSEN: ...and I'll try to get that from the department. Thank you, Senator Schrock.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: I...thank you, Mr. Speaker. In part here, because of the questions I've asked, I think it is necessary to make a few statements and clarify the record based on conversations I had with employees of Game and Parks Commission. First of all, it does appear that the liquidated damages that are collected, for example, taking a deer out of season would go into this fund; I think I stated that that was \$400. They advised me that that's \$750, instead of \$400, so the amount from each incident that would go into this fund is greater, at least from that particular event, than what I had stated to you. The other clarifying issue, and I think this is important as a matter of language, when it says, on line 21 and 22, that the fund can be used for "salaries and any expenses of all agents", I took the language "all agents" to include what I think they would say is improper. I was including within the language "all agents" the conservation officers themselves. Conservation officers apparently are not intended to be included within the definition of "all agents"; that "all agents" refers to someone more in the category of informants or other individuals that would assist the conservation officer and are not the conservation officer as a primary instrument in the event. I was unaware of it, but apparently conservation officers have to hire others, on occasion, to assist them, and that is for the salaries and expenses of those persons, not the conservation officers themselves. So, with that, if that is what is intended, it's not...has nothing...if it has...if it literally has nothing to do with the pay and salaries of the conservation officers, then the potential objection I raised earlier isn't appropriate. But...and with that, I would, if you thought I had objections to the bill, I would withdraw them.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Further debate on advancement of the bill? Senator Schrock, seeing no further lights, you're recognized to close on advancement.